

Teacher Leadership Resource Tool Facilitation Guide

Author: Jon Kovach and Erin Powers, UCLA Center X Professional Learning

Partners

Date: 2012

The CENTER XCHANGE is a repository of documents produced by and about UCLA students, alumni, and faculty on the work of transforming public schools. The CENTER XCHANGE is managed by an editorial board that meets monthly to review submission and develop new content. Access to these resources vary with copyright selection, however Center X strives to provide open and free access whenever possible.

Teacher Leadership Resource Tool – Facilitation Guide By Jon Kovach and Erin Powers, UCLA Center X Professional Learning Partners

Context

One of our responsibilities as Professional Learning Partners at Center X is to design and facilitate professional development at our school site and for other educational organizations. This facilitation guide was created while planning a 5-day professional development centered on using questioning and discussion techniques. We had flexibility in choosing the workshops' structures and instructional strategies to meet the organizers' desired outcomes. Without knowing the needs and content areas of participants, we realized the importance of being intentional.

Why - Purpose and Intent

The organization of this facilitation guide allows presenters to be mindful of time and strategies used while aligning with desired cognitive processes. It also frames possible questions that could be asked by the facilitator, or by engaged participants, lending itself to inquiry-based dialogue and discussion. Additionally, the structure makes instructional strategies and facilitator "moves" transparent to participants and removes exclusive expertise and ownership from the presenter; thus, creating a constructivist learning environment. By preparing a facilitation guide in this style, the likelihood of reaching participant learning goals and outcomes is extremely high.

How - Thinking process that led to the development of this protocol

The development of this guide met the needs of two groups: the facilitators and the participants. As oftentimes the case in large education conferences, we had minimal knowledge of the participants' professional development needs; however, we needed to meet the outcomes set by the conference organizers. We were given choice on thinking process and the strategies to meet these outcomes. To meet participants' needs we wanted to create a guide that would be inquiry and constructivist based to match our own belief system about how people learn. We also wanted to limit facilitator talk and focus more on interaction between participants.

What - Directions for Protocol

- 1. Start with the learning goals
 - What do we want participants to learn during the workshop?
- 2. Create outcomes.
 - What materials and/or tools do we want them to walk away with?
- 3. Metacognition and the strategies that promote that thinking.
 - Decide which strategies and moves lend themselves to the kinds of thinking identified
- 4. Possible questions to ask and answer
- © copyright UC Regents 2012

- Knowing that the best thinking comes from inquiry, what questions might we anticipate
 from participants and what questions might we ask of the group to solicit additional
 thinking?
- 5. Underlining theme is pausing, paraphrasing and asking questions
 - While not explicit in the facilitator's guide, pausing, paraphrasing, in addition to questioning, promotes common understanding, trusting relationships, and an openness to cognitive risk taking.

Reflection

Upon reflecting on the process, we found it helpful that we continue to use this style of preparation when working with various groups. It encourages thinking about every possible aspect of adult learning: outcomes, cognitive processes, time, responsibility, strategies and moves, and the questions we anticipate for ourselves and for participants. Ample preparation helps us to be present and flexible during the workshop to meet the needs of the group. However, we have adjusted the guide spatially, to better reflect the priorities we hold as leaders of adult learning.

Tuesday, March 6th, 2012 Room 54 *(CST testing materials in the library)* 1:50 to 3:05

Faculty Meeting in the Auditorium to Follow

Learning Goals

Engaged participants will...

- Practice and develop collaborative skills
- Increase awareness of cognitive processes in response to literature
- Experience strategies and moves that promote student engagement and higher-level thinking

Outcomes

Engaged participants will...

- Formulate questions and responses to literature
- Examine inquiry strategies and physical structures to promote student engagement
- Recommend techniques for future teaching and learning

Agenda

- I. Welcome and Inclusion
- II. Socratic Seminar
- III. KWHL
- IV. Announcements

Tim	e Activity/Strategy	Facilitator/	Cognitive	Potential ?s
		Presenter	Demand	
1:50	Welcome &		Choose	-What do you remember
	Inclusion		Explain	being a favorite book from
	Thank you for being		Validate	your childhood? What
	flexible & meeting in			made it stand out to you?
	a different location.			
	-Elbow Partners			
2:00	Socratic Seminar		Clarify	What might be some
	-Power Point of		Discover	strategies to scaffold and
	background		Review	differentiate for all
	information		Compare	learners?
			Contrast	How might the intent
				behind Socratic seminar be
				different or similar to
				debates?
2:10	Experience <i>The</i>		Recognize	Why might a children's
	Giving Tree		Review	book lend itself to Socratic
				seminar? What reading
				strategies might be

English and ESL Super Department Facilitator's Notes

			important to employ before starting a seminar?
2:20	Socratic Seminar - One Line (Promotes claim and evidence; encourages rereading;	Correlate Examine Infer Discover Synthesize	When might be helpful? In what situations might it not be helpful?
2:45	KWHL -Focus on the Learning Column	Recall Question Design	-What do we want to remember about Socratic Seminar?
2:55	Announcements – Parent Engagement Series QEIA Budget Scoring Days for PA 3 – subs needed Measuring Up Tusitala, March 16th Deadline	Recall Appraise Prioritize Recommend	What kind of learning experience might be most beneficial to families?

Strategies and Moves

Non-verbal Hand Signal Elbow Partners Accountable Talk PAG-PAU One Line Sentence Stems What Questions Might you Have? Socratic Seminar KWHL

Copies & Supplies Needed

Speakers, LCD, doc camera The Giving Tree Observation Form Clip Boards Yarn Sentence Stems

Posters Needed

Food Sign-ups

Agenda Announcements AVID Weekly Semester 2 PD dates and cal Access to PA Scores AVID Strategies sheet

© copyright UC Regents 2012