



## **The Projects: Education Under Construction with UCLA's Literacy and Leadership Partners**

Author: Erin Powers  
Date: November 2008  
Series Number: PPBP010-X013-2008

This paper was originally published in California English Journal, Volume 14(2), November 2008. It is reprinted here with the permission of the publisher as well as the National Writing Project (NWP). The mission of NWP is to improve the teaching of writing and improve learning in the nation's schools. Explore NWP's resources at [www.nwp.org](http://www.nwp.org).

The CENTER XCHANGE is a repository of documents produced by and about UCLA students, alumni, and faculty on the work of transforming public schools. The CENTER XCHANGE is managed by an editorial board that meets monthly to review submissions and develop new content. Access to these resources vary with copyright restriction, however Center X strives to provide open and free access whenever possible.

© copyright UC Regents 2009  
Center X is part of the Graduate School  
of Education and Information Studies at UCLA  
1320 Moore Hall Box 951521  
Los Angeles, CA 90095  
[www.centerx.gseis.ucla.edu](http://www.centerx.gseis.ucla.edu)

## **Abstract**

As a group, teachers and administrators are given a great deal of responsibility, and are expected to construct a system of instruction that fits their particular schools and classrooms. This is undoubtedly a demanding task, and often times educators are not given the appropriate amount of time and resources to accomplish all their scholastic goals. Erin Power's paper lays out how a Literacy and Leader Partner (LLP) can assist educators via various types of consultation. LLP's show teachers and administrators how to develop and refine their skills in areas such as efficiency, collaboration, and curriculum building, while also urging educators to trust one another's ideas. Power's uses the extended metaphor of architecture to highlight how a LLP is the frame of a school, aiding its development, but at the same time being an invisible component of the "school house" (aka education system), which allows the "builders" (teachers) to take the majority of control when it come to building "The Projects."

## **The Projects: Education Under Construction with UCLA's Literacy and Leadership Partners**

**Erin Powers**

*University of California, Los Angeles*

**November 2008**

When I was a teacher, I thought of myself as a builder. Every year, I had nine months to build a home for the reading, writing, and thinking lives of my students. So I learned the skills of an architect and carefully drew plans for our curriculum. Then, I learned how to be a contractor and I operated the machines that scraped the land for the foundation. I also became an electrician, a carpenter, a plumber, and a landscaper. Sparks of ideas flowed through our house; beautiful built-in furniture held our ever-growing library; we flushed away doubts about if we could succeed; we walked through lush gardens and smelled the flowers. Students thrived in clean bright rooms. I built a home for learning.

Not every year as a classroom teacher stands out as a picture from *Architectural Digest*. There were times when I taught a scripted curriculum and my house looked like a pre-fab number, complete with plastic flowers in the pots. The home protected students during friendly weather, but I worried about what might happen during a storm. Then, there were times when I catered to a group of overbearing parents and completely went against what I knew to be good teaching. The house looked out of place in the neighborhood - all glass and steel beams surrounded by quaint cottages - and I tried to rationalize its ostentatious look. I'm not proud of these times, but it's part of my learning experience and I need to remember all of the homes I built, not just the best ones.

Now, I'm a Literacy and Leadership Partner (LLP) and I no longer see myself as a builder. Much of a builder's work is public; it's there for the world to see. People can drive by to see a builder's progress. Some stop in to inspect during an open house. And anyone can log onto the internet for a satellite view. It's different for literacy coaches.

Part of the goal of an LLP is to become so ingrained in the frame of a school, that we go unnoticed. As a home's frame, we begin at the floor, hold up the walls, provide space for wiring and insulation, and stay strong for all. We understand that what we do is not about us; it's about the students, the teachers, the administrators, and the community – the walls, the floor, the insulation, and the roof. We're not just consultants, pouring ideas into teachers' supposedly empty minds and waving goodbye when we receive our checks. We understand the complexity of human relationships and the value of trust in the workplace. We know that stability and time are needed to help foster growth. As the frame, we will not go anywhere; we are constant and fixed. We know that schools' needs are sophisticated and in order to be successful, our work has to match that level of sophistication. We're in this to build the capacity of every professional that we meet and ultimately, we allow schools to grow stronger and be more effective. Without a frame, the homes will collapse under their own weight, no matter how smooth the seams of the drywall.

How do we frame homes for education? We don't do it the same way in every school. Every neighborhood has a different style and every campus has different needs, a

different culture, and a different history. These differences must be valued and respected, but there are tools that we all value: Cognitive Coaching<sup>tm</sup>, consulting, and collaboration. Through these avenues, we model professionalism, best practices, and reflection.

Helping our education builders to recognize their skills and allowing them to refine their techniques is our biggest goal. It's one of the challenges that's built into our education system: we don't invest much time in reflecting on what we've done, where we're going, what works, and why. Teachers and administrators' days are packed with planning and doing, planning and doing, planning and doing. In secondary schools, each teacher generally teaches five separate classes and has one class period to plan her lessons, contact parents, and assess student work. That's not much time for more than 150 students and their families. The responsibilities of our principal and assistant principals are overwhelming. So while reflection is encouraged in the credentialing process, it's difficult for teachers to continue the habit of daily reflection while they're isolated in classrooms. It makes about as much sense as building a house from the roof down. Literacy and Leadership Partners step in to help educators use the skills that they already own to see where to build next.

A person who builds his or her own home appreciates his work and has a better understanding of it than someone who has it done for them. Coaches break up the mind-numbing cycle of planning and doing in isolation by engaging builders in conversation about their work. When teachers tell me what they're doing in their classrooms, I ask careful questions about their instruction, anxious to help them view their work in

different ways. I want them to be cognizant of why what they're doing is working for students or why it's not. But I don't tell them why I think something works or does not work; it's not my home to build. Instead, I encourage them to come to their own conclusions. I ask question after question and offer personalized data when appropriate. "I noticed that Jose answered four of the six questions that you posed to the class," or "After you passed back the papers, half of the students put them away and half of them looked at your comments." Then, I give them space to think about their actions and the results. The builder knows every single piece of the structure and he understands why the materials work to provide shelter, warmth, and comfort. He's cognizant of how his choices shaped the home. If I gave teachers my opinion, they would become home buyers and a buyer simply sees what he likes or doesn't like and invests his money. He does not have the same level of understanding as the builder. One by one, I help our builders see the power and potential in the daily choices they make for students and our school.

Like skilled builders, many teachers are natural collaborators, eager to develop new curriculum with others, an equal partnership with someone to share the work and the experience. But the isolation of a traditional school day makes collaboration with others difficult and teachers do not have opportunities to regularly plan lessons with others. Additionally, some teachers lose or never achieve the traits of true collaboration. They offer a handout to someone who didn't ask for it and call it collaboration. Some teachers are turned off to collaboration when other teachers fail to presume positive intentions or share equally in the building process. This is what sets collaboration with Literacy and Leadership Partners apart. We're skilled in the Seven Norms of Collaboration: pausing

before we speak, paraphrasing what the other says, putting ideas on the table, presuming positive intentions, probing for specificity, paying attention to self and others, and pursuing a balance between advocacy and inquiry (Garmston). We can make the collaborative process the stimulating experience that it's meant to be. Two builders are better than one and students end up with better, more coherent instruction as a result of our collaboration. As a team, we become an episode of *Extreme Home Makeover*, without the extreme demolition.

Consulting remains a part of my daily work; developers, like teachers and administrators, need information, strategies, and materials. I organize the assessment and professional development calendars for the English-Language Arts teachers and support the implementation of those assessments and professional development sessions. I keep them informed on new curricular developments from the district, the local district, and the state. When a teacher wants a handout on a particular topic, I find one or make one. When she wants to see what a strategy looks like in her classroom, I model the strategy within a lesson for her students. When a teacher needs district-made materials, I make arrangements to pick up those materials for her at the district office. I do the same for administrators and teachers in their professional developments. I'm the go-to gal for anything instructional, the gofer at the construction site, gathering materials and tools for the skilled carpenters and electricians.

While I work in each capacity - coaching, collaborating, and consulting - I build my relationships with each individual. The teachers at my Program Improvement 5+ school

have learned to be skeptical of one another, of their administrators, and of anything that the district promotes. They've seen the pattern of a program that's introduced with much fanfare – like the latest fad in interior design - watching it last for a year, and then never hearing about it again. Their disbelief in new programs is understandable because of their past experience with cycles in education. The same could be said for their leadership as principals, our head contractors, come and go more often than the students. Teachers are skittish and with good reason. They never know what the next big idea is - the next style in architecture - that they're going to have to adapt their practice to satisfy. There's little trust on our campuses. A building site is not safe unless everyone trusts the other to do his job and do it well.

Part of my job is supporting a system to foster that much needed trust between the builders. I'm there to help focus the staff on an instructional plan that is consistent and supports learning for all. I'm an ally in learning, not a political figure interested in promoting myself. If I can support the frame on which instruction is built, teachers and students will have a much better chance of succeeding. No more grass huts for us. No more dirt floors. We want a 21<sup>st</sup> century home in education.

How do we know that what we're doing is working? At this stage in my work as a frame for homes, I use small, individual measurements. I know that I'm effective when an administrator says to me, "I try to be like you" to describe how she wants to handle conflict. I know that I'm effective when at the conclusion of a planning session, a teacher says, "I can't wait to teach this!" or runs up to me a week later with a pile of student work



and says, “You won’t believe what they came up with!” I know that I’m effective when a teacher looks at me and says, “I trust you.” My goal is for rising test scores, anthologies of student writing, and observable literacy practices in all subject areas to reflect our builders’ growth. Until then, I have to hold up what I know to be valuable for schools: communication, reflection, best practices - and watch the community be built around me until I’m invisible and forgotten.

## **Works Cited**

Garmston, Robert J., and Bruce Wellman. *The Adaptive School: A Sourcebook for Developing Collaborative Groups*. Christopher Gordon Publisher